

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,317	01/16/2002	Tomohide Takeuchi	52433/675	5887	
26646	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			MCHENRY, KEVIN L		
ONE BROAD NEW YORK,	***===		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1725		
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			lt				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/031,317	TAKEUCHI ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin L McHenry	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	ition in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Th fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oft timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	s Brief must be filed within the pe						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows			•				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4 and 5</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment, particularly its "consisting essentially of" language, broadens the scope of claim 3 and would require new consideration and new search because this language is broader than "consisting of" language.

Kiley Stoner AU 1725 Hilly Stoner 41127/04